## **Nuclear Regulatory Commission**

for the fair and efficient resolution of the case.

- (f) Effects of ongoing settlement negotiations. The conduct of settlement negotiations does not divest the presiding officer of jurisdiction and does not automatically stay the proceeding. A hearing must not be unduly delayed because of the conduct of settlement negotiations.
- (g) Form. A settlement must be in the form of a proposed settlement agreement, a consent order, and a motion for its entry that includes the reasons why it should be accepted. It must be signed by the consenting parties or their authorized representatives.
- (h) Content of settlement agreement. The proposed settlement agreement must contain the following:
- (1) An admission of all jurisdictional facts;
- (2) An express waiver of further procedural steps before the presiding officer, of any right to challenge or contest the validity of the order entered into in accordance with the agreement, and of all rights to seek judicial review or otherwise to contest the validity of the consent order;
- (3) A statement that the order has the same force and effect as an order made after full hearing; and
- (4) A statement that matters identified in the agreement, required to be adjudicated have been resolved by the proposed settlement agreement and consent order.
- (i) Approval of settlement agreement. Following issuance of a notice of hearing, a settlement must be approved by the presiding officer or the Commission as appropriate in order to be binding in the proceeding. The presiding officer or Commission may order the adjudication of the issues that the presiding officer or Commission finds is required in the public interest to dispose of the proceeding. In an enforcement proceeding under subpart B of this part, the presiding officer shall accord due weight to the position of the NRC staff when reviewing the settlement. If approved, the terms of the settlement or compromise must be embodied in a decision or order. Settlements approved by a presiding officer are subject to the

Commission's review in accordance with §2.341.

## § 2.339 Expedited decisionmaking procedure.

- (a) The presiding officer may determine a proceeding by an order after the conclusion of a hearing without issuing an initial decision, when:
- (1) All parties stipulate that the initial decision may be omitted and waive their rights to file a petition for review, to request oral argument, and to seek judicial review;
- (2) No unresolved substantial issue of fact, law, or discretion remains, and the record clearly warrants granting the relief requested; and
- (3) The presiding officer finds that dispensing with the issuance of the initial decision is in the public interest.
- (b) An order entered under paragraph (a) of this section is subject to review by the Commission on its own motion within forty (40) days after its date.
- (c) An initial decision may be made effective immediately, subject to review by the Commission on its own motion within thirty (30) days after its date, except as otherwise provided in this chapter, when:
- (1) All parties stipulate that the initial decision may be made effective immediately and waive their rights to file a petition for review, to request oral argument, and to seek judicial review;
- (2) No unresolved substantial issue of fact, law, or discretion remains and the record clearly warrants granting the relief requested; and
- (3) The presiding officer finds that it is in the public interest to make the initial decision effective immediately.
- (d) The provisions of this section do not apply to an initial decision directing the issuance of a limited work authorization under 10 CFR 50.10, an early site permit under subpart A of part 52 of this chapter, a construction permit or construction authorization, a combined license under subpart C of part 52 of this chapter, or a manufacturing license under subpart F of part 52.
- [69 FR 2236, Jan. 14, 2004, as amended at 72 FR 49475, Aug. 28, 2007]